

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/722,046	•	11/24/2003	Michael A. Metcalf	2187.1-1	8313		
24243	7590	11/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		BUCHACA & LI	WALLING, MEAGAN S				
1545 HOTE SUITE 150	L CIRCLI	E SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN DIEGO	O, CA 92	2108-3412		2863			
				DATE MAILED: 11/29/200	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/72		METCALF ET AL.	METCALF ET AL.				
Office Action Summa	ry Exami	ner	Art Unit					
•	Meaga	ın S Walling	2863					
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply		<u></u>		ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the priod for reply specified above is less that - If the period for reply specified above, the may - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n nis communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within the imum statutory period will apply a for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of th	o event, however, may a reply statutory minimum of thirty (3 nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABANI	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this common to the time to the common to the	munication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>24 Novembe</u>	<u>r 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	s non-final.		-				
·	,							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1,2,21 and 22</u> is/are 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>3-20 and 23-40</u> is/are	Claim(s) <u>1,2,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 Nov Applicant may not request that ar Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	rember 2003 is/are: a) (2) ay objection to the drawing cluding the correction is rec	s) be held in abeyance quired if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR	l 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the Inte	e of: riority documents have leading to the riority documents have leading to the priority documents of the priority documentational Bureau (PCT)	peen received. peen received in App uments have been re Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National St	age				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re		Paper No(s)/M	/ail Date	44				
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO- Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>Ⅲ24</u> /03	1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nabity et al. (US 5,777,892).

Regarding claim 1, Nabity et al. teaches a transmitter emitting at least one directional beam of an acoustical waveform (Ref. 32); at least one detector receiving echo signals for the waveform backscattered from the solids (Ref. 34); means for gathering measured intensity value of the echo signals (column 1, lines 28-32 and column 7, lines 13-14); means for measuring Doppler frequency shifts of the echo signals (column 2, line 63); and data processing equipment comprising means for translating the intensity values into concentration values of the solids (column 1, lines 28-32 and column 8, lines 57-59), and means for interpreting the frequency shifts into flow measurements of the solids (column 2, lines 52-55).

Regarding claim 2, Nabity et al. teaches that the flowing liquid is contained in a conduit having a direction flow (Ref. 31), and the transmitter (Ref. 32) and detector (Ref. 34) are located inside the conduit (see Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 21, Nabity et al. teaches emitting at least one directional beam of an acoustical waveform across the liquid (column 5, lines 20-23); detector receiving echo signals of the waveform backscattered from the solids (column 5, lines 23-26); gathering measured

Doppler frequency shifts of the echo signals (column 1, lines 28-32 and column 7, lines 13-14); measuring by the frequency shifts of the echo signals (column 2, line 63); translating the intensity values into concentration values of the solids (column 1, lines 28-32 and column 8, lines 57-59); and interpreting the frequency shifts into flow measurements of the solids (column 2, lines 52-55).

Regarding claim 22, Nabity et al. teaches that the flowing liquid is contained in a conduit having a directional flow (Ref. 31), and the transmitter (Ref. 32) and detector (Ref. 34) are located inside the conduit (see Fig. 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-20 and 23-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for the indication of allowability of claims 3 and 23 is the inclusion of the limitation of the transmitter emitting at least a first pair of the beams from substantially the same location, a second beam in the pair being aimed downstream from a first beam and at a longitudinal angle from the first beam. It is this limitation in the claimed combination that has not been found, taught, or suggested that makes these claims allowable.

The primary reason for the indication of allowability of claims 5 and 25 is the inclusion of the limitation of generating samplings of the echo signals corresponding to discrete volumes of the liquid distributed along the beam. It is this limitation in the claimed combination that has not been found, taught, or suggested that makes these claims allowable.

Art Unit: 2863

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meagan S Walling whose telephone number is (571) 272-2283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

msw

John Marlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800